

**Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench, New Delhi**

O.A.No.2662/2009

Friday, this the 6<sup>th</sup> day of August 2010

**Hon'ble Shri Shanker Raju, Member (J)**  
**Hon'ble Dr. Veena Chhotray, Member (A)**

1. Shri R K Jain s/o Shri B S Jain  
aged about 43 years  
working as Assistant Engineer  
r/o D-11/95, Rohini  
Sector-8, Delhi-85
2. Shri Madan Mohan s/o Shri Sheodan Singh Verma  
aged about 47 years  
working as Assistant Engineer  
r/o A-512, Pragati Vihar Hostel  
Lodhi Road, New Delhi
3. Shri R K Dwivedi s/o Shri Virendra Nath Dwivedi  
aged about 47 years  
working as Assistant Engineer  
r/o M-114A, Shastri Nagar, Delhi
4. Shri M S Rana s/o Shri Jagmohan Singh Rana  
aged about 42 years  
working as Assistant Engineer  
r/o H-2/20, Shiksha Apartments Sector 6  
Vasundhra, Ghaziabad

..Applicants

(By Advocate: Dr. Ashwani Bhardwaj)

Versus

1. Union of India through the Secretary  
Ministry of Information & Broadcasting  
601, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-1
2. Director General, Doordarshan  
Doordarshan Bhawan  
Copernicus Marg, New Delhi-1

..Respondents

(By Advocate: Ms. Biji Rajesh for Shri Gaurang Kanth)

**ORDER (ORAL)**

**Shri Shanker Raju:**

Corrected vide order  
dated 24.11.10 in PTA 2925  
26/4/10.

Applicants, who were on deemed deputation to Prasar Bharati, are still governing the conditions of service as compared to their counterparts in Central Government, as reflected from the Cabinet decision taken on 29.1.2009 whereby it is decided that all the employees, who are on deemed deputation as certified under RTI appended at page 116 of the paper book, shall be entitled to the pay scale and other benefits as per their entitlement.

2. It is no more *res integra* that as the counterparts in Department of Posts have been placed in the ~~PB-III~~ <sup>PB-2</sup> with grade pay of ₹5400/-, the applicants are being entitled for the same. However, they are not being granted the grade pay of ₹5400/-. The only justification, which has come forth by the respondents in their reply and also a proposal, which the Ministry of Finance has turned down on 7.7.2009 firstly on the ground that upgraded pay scales as recommended by 6<sup>th</sup> CPC for certain section of Central Government employees and common category posts cannot be implemented in the case of employees working in Prasar Bharati, which is an autonomous organization and secondly the revised pay structure notified vide para 1 (x) (a to e) of Department's Resolution dated 29.8.2008 is applicable to the category/cadre of Group 'B' officers. As such, in the case of those Group 'B' officers whose category/cadre is not

mentioned in the said paragraphs of the department's above mentioned Resolution cannot claim upgradation based on it. Further, revised pay structure of Assistant Engineers working in Central Government Departments like CPWD, etc. has been separately notified vide CCS (Revised) Rules, 2008 and the same, as notified by the Government, is grade pay of ₹4600 in PB-II.

3. We have carefully considered the rival contentions of the parties and perused the records.

4. An information under RTI served upon the applicant clearly indicated that the decision referred to above in clause 1 (x) (a to e) of the Resolution dated 29.8.2008 placing Group 'B' employees in the grade pay of ₹5400/- covers the applicants in the present OA but it does not entitle the employees of autonomous body. As we find on an admitted stand that the applicants are still the Central Government employees on deemed deputation to Prasar Bharati vide a Cabinet decision, the pay structures granted to the employees of Central Government shall *mutatis mutandis* apply to the applicants and rejection of their request for grade pay of ₹5400/- is not justifiable.

5. Resultantly, OA is allowed and impugned decision of the respondents is set aside. Respondents are directed to accord grade pay of ₹5400/- in ~~PB-II~~ <sup>PB-2</sup> after completion of four years regular service

W

in grade pay of ₹4800/-, with all arrears, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

( Dr. Veena Chhotray )  
Member (A)

/sunil/

( Shanker Raju )  
Member (J)



*Recd MA 2926/10 - Corkecha*

प्रमाणित सत्यप्रतिनिधि  
CERTIFIED TRUE COPY  
दिनांक/Date: 26/11/10  
अनुभाग - (याद-11)  
Section - (11)  
केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक न्यायाधिकरण  
Central Administrative Tribunal  
न्यायालय प्रदीप, नई दिल्ली  
Tribunal Bench, New Delhi



24.11.2010

46

MA 2925/2010

MA 2926/2010

OA 2662/2009

Present: Dr. SP Sharma for Dr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, counsel for applicant.  
Shiladitya Goswami for Mr. Gaurang Kanth, counsel for respondents.

Let the corrections be carried out according to para 3 of the MA No. 2925/2010 by the Registry and a corrected copy be served upon both the sides. MA is accordingly allowed with.

(Dr. Veena Chhotray)  
Member (A)

(Shanker Raju)  
Member (J)



प्रमाणित सत्यप्रतिविम्बि  
CERTIFIED TRUE COPY  
क्रमांक/Date 26-11-10

अनुभाग नं. १० (का०-II)

Section Officer (J-II)

केन्द्रीय प्रशासनिक न्यायिकरण

Central Administrative Tribunal

परीट, नई दिल्ली.

11/11/2010