

Central Administrative Tribunal  
Principal Bench

OA No.597/2011

New Delhi this the 24th day May, 2011

Hon<sup>ble</sup> Dr. Veena Chhotray, Member (A)  
Hon<sup>ble</sup> Dr. Dharam Paul Sharma, Member (J)

1. Doordarshan Engineering Employees Welfare Association,  
Through the Treasurer V.M. Darshrath,  
Central Production Centre,  
Doordarshan Asiad Village Complex,  
Sri Fort, New Delhi-49
2. M.S. Srikumar S/o Sh. R.S. Pillay,  
Working as Senior Engineering Assistant,  
CPC Doordarshan, R/o S-110, MS Apartments,  
Niveditakunj Sector-10, RK Puram,  
New Delhi

-Applicants

(By Advocate: Shri Yogesh Sharma)

-V E R S U S-

1. Union of India, through the Secretary,  
Ministry of Information and Broadcasting,  
Govt. of India, Shastri Bhawan,  
New Delhi-110001
2. Director General, Doordarshan,  
Mandi House, Copernicus Marg,  
New Delhi
3. Director General, All India Radio,  
Akashwani Bhawan, Sansad Marg,  
New Delhi

-Respondents

(By Advocate: Shri Vikrant Yadav)

ORDER (Oral)

Dr. Veena Chhotray:

The instant OA has been filed on behalf of the Doordarshan Employees Welfare Association (applicant No.1) and Sh. M.S. Srikumar (applicant No.2). The applicants are aggrieved at inaction of the respondents in not granting the benefit of the 1st ACP to them on completion of 12 years service in the pay scale Rs.8,000-13,500/- by way of extending the benefit of judgment dated 7.9.2009 passed by the Tribunal, Patna Bench in the OA No. 514/2002 upheld by the High Court and the Apex Court. By way of relief the directions to the respondents to consider their cases in terms of the benefit of judgment dated 7.9.2009 passed by the Patna Bench of the Tribunal in the OA No. 514/2002 has been sought.

2. On behalf of the respondents, Shri Vikrant Yadav, the learned counsel, would produce before us a counter affidavit dated 24.5.2011, para 7 of which makes the following averments:-

It is submitted that Hon<sup>ble</sup> Tribunal may kindly consider to dispose of this OA with direction to the respondents to verify the submissions of the applicants, and in the event the applicants are found similarly

placed as that of in Patna CAT Case, then to extend the same benefits to them as have been extended to the applicants of Patna CAT Case.

3. The applicants' learned counsel, Shri Yogesh Sharma, would submit that they would have no objection, if the present OA is disposed by issuance of directions to the respondents in the aforesaid terms.

4. In view of this conceded position by both the sides, the OA is disposed along with the directions to the respondents to take necessary steps in the matter as per their averments in para 7 of the counter affidavit. This is to be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

(Dr. Dharam Paul Sharma)  
Member (J)

(Dr. Veena Chhotray)  
Member (A)