

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI

CP No.710/2011 In OA No.597/2011
New Delhi this the 1st day of March, 2013

Hon^{ble} Shri G. George Paracken, Member (J)
Hon^{ble} Shri Shekhar Agarwal, Member (A)

1. Doordarshan Engineering Employees Welfare Association,
Through the Treasurer V.M. Darshrath,
Central Production Centre,
Doordarshan Asiad Village Complex,
Sri Fort, New Delhi-49
2. M.S. Srikumar S/o Sh. R.S. Pillay,
Working as Senior Engineering Assistant,
CPC Doordarshan, R/o S-110, MS Apartments,
Niveditakunj Sector-10, RK Puram,
New Delhi

-Applicants

(By Advocate: Shri Yogesh Sharma)

-V E R S U S-

1. Sh. Raghu Menon, Secretary,
Ministry of Information and Broadcasting,
Govt. of India, Shastri Bhawan,
New Delhi-110001
2. Sh. Tripurari Saran, Director General, Doordarshan,
Mandi House, Copernicus Marg,
New Delhi
3. Sh. Liladhar Mandoli, Director General, All India Radio,
Akashwani Bhawan, Sansad Marg,
New Delhi

-Respondents

(By Advocates: Sh. D.S. Mahendru for respondent No.1 and Sh. S.M. Arif for respondent No.2)

ORDER(ORAL)

Hon^{ble} G. George Paracken:

This contempt petition has been filed for the alleged on implementation of the order passed by this Tribunal dated 24.05.2011 in OA No.597/2011. The relevant part of the said order reads as under:-

2. On behalf of the respondents, Shri Vikrant Yadav, the learned counsel, would produce before us a counter affidavit dated 24.5.2011, para 7 of which makes the following averments:-

It is submitted that Hon^{ble} Tribunal may kindly consider to dispose of this OA with direction to the respondents to verify the submissions of the applicants, and in the event the applicants are found similarly placed as that of in Patna CAT Case, then to extend the same benefits to them as have been extended to the applicants of Patna CAT Case.

3. The applicants' learned counsel, Shri Yogesh Sharma, would submit that they would have no objection, if the present OA is disposed by issuance of directions to the respondents in the aforesaid terms.

4. In view of this conceded position by both the sides, the OA is disposed along with the directions to the respondents to take necessary steps in the matter as per their averments in para 7 of the counter affidavit. This is to be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

2. Respondents have filed their replies.

3. The learned counsel for the respondents have submitted that they have granted the same benefits as have been granted in the case of the petitioner in the Patna Bench's case to 16 employees but found that seven employees were not eligible for the same.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has very fairly submitted that he would like to withdraw this CP and file a fresh OA challenging the decision of the respondents in respect of seven persons and conveyed to him vide letter dated 28.02.2013.

5. In view of the above position, this CP is closed with liberty as aforesaid. There shall be no order as to costs. Notices issued to the alleged contemnors are discharged.

(Shekhar Agarwal)
Member(A)

(G. George Paracken)
Member(J)