

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

O.A. No. 4342/2015

New Delhi, this the 24th day of May, 2016.

HON'BLE MR. P.K. BASU, MEMBER (A)
HON'BLE DR. BRAHM AVTAR AGRAWAL, MEMBER (J)

1. A.I.R. & D.D. Technical Employees Association,
Through its' Zonal Secretary (North Zone),
Sh. Shyam Vir Singh,
Aged 52 years,
S/o Late Shri Netar Pal Singh,
R/o III/227, Kamla Nehru Nagar,
Ghaziabad.
2. Vinod Kumar, Aged 41 years,
S/o Shri Bodh Raj,
Technician, VLPT, Kishtwar,
DMC, Udhampur.
R/o 190, Lane-7, Shakti Nagar,
Jammu-180011. .. Applicants

(By Advocate : Shri Yogesh Sharma)

Versus

1. Union of India through
The Secretary,
Ministry of Information and Broadcasting,
Govt. of India, Shastri Bhawan,
New Delhi-110001.
2. Chief Executive Officer,
Prasar Bharti, PTI Building,
New Delhi-110001.
3. Director General,
Doordarshan, Mandi House,
Copernicus Marg,
New Delhi-110001.

4. Director General,
All India Radio,
Akashwani Bhawan,
Sansad Marg,
New Delhi-110001.

.. Respondents

(By Advocate : Ms. Radhalakshmi R. for Shri Rajeev Sharma
for R-2 to 4 and Shri S.P. Sharma, Consultant,
departmental representative on behalf of R-1)

ORDER (Oral)

By Hon'ble Mr. P.K. Basu

Heard both the sides.

2. The departmental representative on behalf of respondent No.1 – M/o Information & Broadcasting appears and states that the matter has been examined in the Ministry and referred to Ministry of Finance for their opinion and they expect that the decision will be taken finally within a period of six weeks and, therefore, seeks time. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 seeks time to file reply.

3. However, in view of the fact that the Ministry has already taken a view, the O.A. is disposed of, without going into the merits of the case, with a direction to respondent No.1 – Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, after obtaining a view from the Ministry of Finance, to issue a reasoned and speaking order on the prayer of the applicants, within a period of six weeks from the date

of receipt of a copy of this order. If the applicants are still aggrieved by the order passed by the respondents, they are at liberty to challenge the same by filing a fresh O.A., in accordance with law.

No costs.

(Dr. Brahm Avtar Agrawal)
Member (J)

(P.K. Basu)
Member (A)

/Jyoti/